



WASHINGTON STATE PARKS

PREDESIGN REPORT

Twin Harbors State Park Renovation

CBS# 30000086

July 14, 2020

Prepared For:

Washington State Office of Financial Management

Prepared By:

Washington State Parks & Recreation Commission

In Collaboration With:

J.A. Brennan Associates, PLLC, Landscape Architects
& Planners

Berglund Schmidt & Associates | Davido Consulting Group |
Heath & Associates, Inc. | Landau Associates | Mott MacDonald |
Rollluda Architects, Inc. | RLB Robinson | Stantec |
The Watershed Company

July 14, 2020

TWIN HARBORS STATE PARK RENOVATION

Predesign Report

Prepared by:

Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission
1111 Israel Road SW
Tumwater, Washington 98501-6512

In Collaboration with:

J.A. Brennan Associates, PLLC
2701 First Avenue, Suite 510
Seattle, WA 98121

TWIN HARBORS STATE PARK RENOVATION

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary.....	1
2. Problem Statement.....	3
2A. Problem Statement and Project Opportunities.....	5
2B. Project Requirements	10
2C. Agency Mission	11
2D. Project Approach.....	11
2E. Relevant Project History	13
3. Analysis of Alternatives.....	15
3A. Alternatives Considered	15
3B. Cost Estimates for Each Alternative.....	37
3C. Schedule Estimates	38
4. Detailed Analysis of Preferred Alternative	39
4A. Preferred Alternative Description	39
4B. Site Analysis	58
4C. Consistency with Long-Term Applicable Plans	66
4D. Consistency with Laws and Regulations.....	67
4E. Identified Data Gaps	70
4F. Significant Components	70
4G. Planned Technology Infrastructure.....	71
4H. Planned Building Commissioning	71
4I. Future Phases or Other Facilities	71
4J. Project Management.....	71
4K. Schedule.....	73
5. Budget Analysis for the Preferred Alternative.....	76
5A. Cost estimate	76
The C-100	78
5B. Proposed Funding	103
5C. Facility Operations & Maintenance Requirements	103
5D. Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment.....	106
6. References	107
7. Appendices.....	110
Appendix A: Predesign Checklist and Outline	
Appendix B: Complete Life Cycle Cost Model	
Appendix C: Letter from DAHP	
Appendix D: West Side Alternatives Advantages and Disadvantages Breakdown	
Appendix E: East Side Advantages and Disadvantages Breakdown	
Appendix F: Twin Harbors State Park Traffic Impact Analysis	
Appendix G: Environmental Opportunities and Constraints	
Appendix H: Coastal Erosion and Interior Flooding Main Report	

Figures

Figure 1: Vicinity Map of Twin Harbors State Park and Grayland Beach State Park.....	4
Figure 2: Flooding Area on the West Side of Twin Harbors State Park (dry condition)	5
Figure 3: Extent of Flooding on the West Side of Twin Harbors State Park (flood condition)	5
Figure 4: Flooding Area on the East Side of Twin Harbors State Park.....	6
Figure 5: Fallen Trees - Risk to Visitors.....	6
Figure 6: Fallen Trees - Risk to Visitors.....	6
Figure 7: Campsite Closed from the Perennial Winter Floods	7
Figure 8: Pedestrian Crossing Across SR105 From the East to the West Side.....	8
Figure 9: Context Map of Twin Harbors State Park.....	9
Figure 10: Project Approach.....	11
Figure 11: Twin Harbors State Park 1974.....	13
Figure 12: THSP Alternative Zones	16
Figure 13: Alternative 1W: No Action.....	19
Figure 14: Alternative 2W: 152 Campsites.....	22
Figure 15: Alternative 3W: 130 Campsites.....	24
Figure 16: East Side Existing Conditions.....	30
Figure 17: Alternative 2E East Side Plan.....	32
Figure 18: Alternative 3E East side Plan	34
Figure 19: Schedule	38
Figure 20: Preferred Alternative – West Side.....	40
Figure 21: Preferred Alternative – Detail Area Plan-Camping in Camp Area A.....	42
Figure 22: Preferred Alternative – Detail Area Plan-Exit and Group Camping.....	43
Figure 23: Preferred Alternative – Detail Area Plan-Backdune.....	44
Figure 24: East Side Zones of Mitigation	46
Figure 25: Welcome Center Floor Plan.....	47
Figure 26: Preferred Alternative – Welcome Center Detail Area.....	48
Figure 27: Comfort Stations -Prototype Layout	49
Figure 28: Standard Cabin – Prototype Floor Plan	50
Figure 29: Standard Cabin - Gable Roof Elevations and Perspective	50
Figure 30: Deluxe Cabin - Prototype Floor Plans	51
Figure 31: Deluxe Cabin - Elevations and Perspective	51
Figure 32: Proposed Utilities – 130 Campsites.....	53
Figure 33: Administration and Maintenance Building Floor Plan	55
Figure 34: Administration and Maintenance Building Site.....	56
Figure 35: Administration and Maintenance Building Massing Exterior Views	56
Figure 36: Administration and Maintenance Building On-Site Septic.....	57
Figure 37: Existing Traffic Count.....	58
Figure 38: Projected Peak Traffic Count.....	58
Figure 39: Wetland Boundaries	61
Figure 40: West Side Mitigation and Restoration Plans.....	62
Figure 41: Existing Utilities	66
Figure 42: Draft Phasing Plan	74
Figure 43: Estimated Schedule for Preferred Alternative	75

Tables

Table 1: Summary of the Overall Advantages and Disadvantages of West Side Alternatives	26
Table 2: Quantitative Analysis of Criteria for West Side Alternatives.....	28
Table 3: Summary of the Overall Advantages and Disadvantages of East Side Alternatives.....	35
Table 4: Quantitative Analysis of Criteria for East Side Alternatives	36
Table 5: ROM Estimate of Probable Costs.....	37
Table 6: Summary of Uniformat Level II Cost Estimates	77
Table 7: Summary of Phasing Cost Estimates.....	77
Table 8: Employment Cost Forecast.....	103
Table 9: Equipment Cost Forecast.....	105

Abbreviations

ADA.....	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
CAMP.....	CLASSIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT PLANNING
DAHP.....	WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ARCHAEOLOGY AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION
DNR.....	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
ECOLOGY	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
ESA.....	ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT
FEMA	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
FTE	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE
GEO.....	GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE ORDER
GMA.....	WASHINGTON STATE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT
GPM.....	GALLONS PER MINUTE
IAC	INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
LEED.....	LEADERSHIP IN ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY DESIGN
LID.....	LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT
MPH.....	MILES PER HOUR
NHD	NATIONAL HISTORIC DISTRICT
NHL.....	NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARK
NHPA	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT
NRHP.....	NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
OFM.....	WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
PCC.....	PIERCE COUNTY CODE
RCW.....	REVISED CODE OF WASHINGTON
ROM.....	ROUGH ORDER OF MAGNITUDE
ROW.....	RIGHT-OF-WAY
RV	RECREATIONAL VEHICLE
SED.....	SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATION
SEPA.....	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
SHPO.....	STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
SMA	SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT
SMP.....	SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM
SR105.....	STATE ROUTE 105
SWMMWW.....	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MANUAL FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON
WDFW	WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
WSDOT	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Disclaimer

The Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) is required by the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 43.88.110(5) to institute procedures for reviewing capital projects proposed by state agencies. To qualify for design consideration and request funding in the capital budget, OFM requires predesign analysis and reports for all proposed capital projects valued over \$5 million, or valued between \$1 million and \$5 million, selected by the Legislature or OFM because they are particularly time-sensitive, have a high risk, or are of particular interest to decision-makers.

A predesign study is a beginning step in a comprehensive review and funding process and is intended to explore alternatives for proposed capital projects and assess which alternative best addresses the identified problem, opportunity, or program requirement and at what cost. Decision-makers in the Governor's Office, OFM, and the Legislature use this information to determine if their objectives are met and whether a project should proceed toward design and construction. The fact that an agency has undertaken a predesign study does not guarantee that a project will be approved to continue to the design or construction phases. The subsequent review and approval by OFM and/or the Legislature are an on-going process to determine the future of the project. If the Predesign study is not approved, the project will not be included in the Governor's proposed budget for consideration by the Legislature. Furthermore, approval of the completed predesign does not guarantee appropriations for design or construction.

While the Predesign Report identifies a preferred alternative and conceptual design, it does not impose constraints that cannot be altered during the design process if additional information becomes available. Reasonable flexibility, with legislative intent, during the design and construction process, is expected and encouraged. Any future project decisions and actions by State Parks will be dependent on OFM's approval of this plan, and based on additional site analysis, environmental review, and available funding.